Problem Set 1 Introduction to Modal Logic Institute for Logic, Language and Computation

Universiteit van Amsterdam

Due September 14, 2005

1. Exercise 1.3.2: (a), (b), (d) and (g), pg. 27: Let $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}, S_1, S_2 \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \mathbb{B}, R_1, R_2 \rangle$ be the following frames for a modal similarity type with two diamonds \Diamond_1 and \Diamond_2 . Here, \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{B} is the set of stirngs of 0s and 1s, and the relations are defined by

 $\begin{array}{ll} mS_1n & \text{iff} & n=m+1 \\ mS_2n & \text{iff} & m>n \\ sR_1t & \text{iff} & t=s0 \text{ or } t=s1 \\ sR_2t & \text{iff} & t \text{ is a proper initial segment of } s \end{array}$

Which of the folloiwng formulas are valid on $\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{B}$, respectively?

- (a) $(\Diamond_1 p \land \Diamond_1 q) \to \Diamond_1 (p \land q)$
- (b) $(\Diamond_2 p \land \Diamond_2 q) \to \Diamond_2 (p \land q)$
- (c) $p \to \Diamond_1 \Box_2 p$
- (d) $p \to \Box_2 \Diamond_1 p$
- 2. Exercise 1.3.5, (a), (b), and (d), pg. 27: Show that each of the following formulas is *not* valid by constructing a frame $\mathfrak{F} = \langle W, R \rangle$ that refutes it.
 - (a) $\Box \bot$
 - (b) $\Diamond p \to \Box p$
 - (c) $\Diamond \Box p \to \Box \Diamond p$
- 3. Exercise 1.6.1, pg. 37: Give K-proofs (i.e., K derivations) of $(\Box p \land \Diamond q) \rightarrow \Diamond (p \land q)$ and $\Diamond (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\Diamond p \lor \Diamond q)$.
- 4. Exercise 1.6.7, pg. 37: Let F be a class of frames. Show that Λ_F is a normal modal logic. Some definitions to remember:

- $\Vdash_{\mathsf{F}} \phi$ iff $\mathfrak{F} \Vdash \phi$ for each $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathsf{F}$.
- $\Lambda_{\mathsf{F}} = \{\phi \mid \Vdash_{\mathsf{F}} \phi\}$
- A logic L is a **normal modal logic** if it contains all propositional tautologies, the axioms *Dual* and K, and is closed under the rules, *uniform substitution, modus ponens* and *generalization*.