AI-ENABLED ASSESSMENT OF CARDIAC FUNCTION AND VIDEO QUALITY IN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT POINT-OF-CARE ECHOCARDIOGRAMS
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INTRODUCTION

Bedside point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) can greatly improve emergency medicine through its cost-effectiveness, prompt availability to augment diagnostic workups, and the ability to evaluate unstable patients at the bedside (1–3). Diagnostically, POCUS can be used in trauma for rapid assessment of hemorrhage, evaluation of gallbladder and kidney disease, cardiac function through echocardiographic techniques, evaluation of pregnancy and pelvic pathology, deep venous thrombosis, among other emerging modalities (4,5). Procedurally, it is critical for the placement of central lines, nerve blocks for regional anesthesia, and needle guidance for paracentesis and thoracentesis (6).

In recent years, formal ultrasound training has been incorporated into the curriculum of many medical schools and residency programs, including emergency medicine and internal medicine programs (7,8). Additionally, ultrasound fellowship training programs have been developed to meet this increasing need for bedside ultrasound, as well as to develop centers of excellence for training and research. At the same time, the cost of ultrasound hardware has decreased such that many emergency departments (EDs) and intensive care units have POCUS machines. The utilization of ultrasound can streamline pa-
Patient care with its ability to provide diagnostic data in real time at bedside and help avoid sending unstable patients to the radiology department or echocardiography lab for testing.

One major use of POCUS is echocardiography to evaluate cardiac function, including the assessment of cardiac contractility for the diagnosis of life-threatening pathology such as acute heart failure (9). Cardiovascular emergencies are urgent and require prompt intervention, and high-quality real-time bedside echocardiographic information can aid treatment in EDs and private offices (10). Emergency physicians (EPs) can estimate cardiac contractility using POCUS, but the bedside evaluation of ejection fraction has significant variation and is operator-dependent, so streamlining and standardizing this assessment would be useful in both the clinical and the education settings (11). Additionally, other works seeking to aid in the interpretation of echocardiograms have focused on formal echocardiogram studies, which are expected to have less variation than POCUS echocardiograms (12–15).

To overcome these challenges, we present EchoNet-POCUS, a deep learning model to provide clinicians with automated interpretation of cardiac function and video quality from POCUS echocardiogram videos (Figure 1). We show that the predictions from EchoNet-POCUS can help identify patients with low ejection fractions and videos of poor quality to streamline the workflow of EPs. We additionally demonstrate that EchoNet-POCUS can be applied at bedside with commodity hardware in a prospective pilot study.

METHODS

OVERVIEW OF ECHOCARDIOGRAM

EchoNet-POCUS is a deep learning-based model that takes raw POCUS echocardiogram videos as input and predicts whether the ejection fraction is normal and whether the video quality is sufficient to accurately interpret. EchoNet-POCUS uses a convolutional neural network with spatiotemporal convolutions as its backbone (16).

We collected 927 POCUS echocardiograms in the apical four-chamber view from 573 patients who underwent treatment at our ED. Each video was visually assessed by a consensus of three EPs for normal or low ejection fraction, which is a key metric for cardiac function. The videos were also assessed for quality and were marked as sufficient if the left ventricle was visible and the video could be accurately assessed.

DATA COLLECTION

For training and evaluating EchoNet-POCUS, we collected all POCUS echocardiograms in the apical four-chamber view recorded in our ED between January and November 2020, including 927 videos from 574 patients. The videos were recorded on machines from three different manufacturers (496 on Mindray [Mindray North America, Rahway, NJ]; 339 on GE Venue [GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL], and 92 on Philips [Philips N.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands]). This research was approved by our Institutional Review Board.

Two physicians separately labeled each video with its cardiac function (normal/reduced) and its quality (sufficient/insufficient) (Table 1). The physicians visually assessed the cardiac function of each video based on its left ventricular ejection fraction, the ratio of change in the end-systolic and end-diastolic volumes, which is a key metric of function (17,18). A video was labeled as insufficient quality if the physicians were unable to confidently evaluate the cardiac function based on the video. Videos with disagreements between the original two annotations were adjudicated by a third physician, who had undergone fellowship training in POCUS.

MODEL TRAINING AND EVALUATION

Model design and training was done in Python using the PyTorch deep learning library (19). EchoNet-POCUS...
**Table 1. Summary Statistics of Patients and Videos for the Retrospective Dataset**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videos</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Multiple videos can be collected for a single patient.

uses a R2+1D model using pretrained weights from Ouyang et al. (14, 16).

For predicting both ejection fraction and video quality, the model was trained to minimize the cross-entropy loss between the prediction and ground-truth annotation using a stochastic gradient descent optimizer with an initial learning rate of 0.0001, momentum of 0.9, and batch size of 16 for 45 epochs. The learning rate was decayed by a factor of 0.1 every 15 epochs. Video clips of 32 frames generated by sampling every other frame (sampling period of 2) were used as the model input.

To evaluate the model, we used 10-fold cross-validation, where 80% of the patients were used for training, 10% were used for validation, and 10% were used for testing. This process was repeated 10 times for different samples of patients. For each fold, the weights from the epoch with the lowest validation loss were selected for final testing.

**PROSPECTIVE FEASIBILITY PILOT IN THE ED**

Patients for the bedside usage of ED-POCUS were scanned between September and November 2021. Patients whose condition was stable and who were not actively undergoing a clinical procedure were considered and videos were passively collected, some, in part, for POCUS training. All patients were scanned using a GE Venue ultrasound machine.

**RESULTS**

**OVERVIEW OF PERFORMANCE**

We used EchoNet-POCUS to predict the ejection fraction and quality of the videos in our dataset, and we evaluated its performance using 10-fold cross-validation.

For predicting ejection fraction on videos labeled as sufficient quality by the physicians, EchoNet-POCUS achieved an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) of 0.92 (0.89–0.94), and for predicting video quality, EchoNet-POCUS achieved an AUROC of 0.81 (0.78–0.85) (Figure 2). Additionally, we evaluate the calibration of the predictions made by EchoNet-POCUS by binning the videos by the probability predicted by EchoNet-POCUS. We find that the predictions are reasonably calibrated—that is, the probability estimated by EchoNet-POCUS is close to the real probability—for both cardiac function and quality. This means that EchoNet-POCUS accurately estimates its uncertainty. EchoNet-POCUS was able to predict ejection fraction across all three ultrasound machines in our dataset, with test AUROCs of 0.93 (0.88–0.94), 0.88 (0.82–0.93), and 0.85 (0.69–0.97) on Mindray, GE Venue, and Philips machines, respectively.

**USAGE FOR TRIAGE AND CONSENSUS**

One potential use for EchoNet-POCUS is to reduce the labor needed for physicians to identify patients with reduced ejection fractions. EchoNet-POCUS can be used to identify patients that are likely to have a reduced ejection fraction, which can be confirmed by a physician. In this use case, EchoNet-POCUS can identify 90% of patients with reduced ejection fractions, while requiring a physician to read only 35% of videos (Table 2). In challenging and more ambiguous videos where the initial two readers disagreed, EchoNet-POCUS was able to serve as an additional reader, agreeing with the consensus-providing EP in 80% of videos. EchoNet-POCUS is calibrated to be the most consistent with a consensus read, suggesting that the model can serve as an additional reader or diagnostic/teaching aid.

**STRATIFICATION BY VIDEO QUALITY**

We use EchoNet-POCUS to group videos by quality and find that EchoNet-POCUS can predict the ejection fraction of videos in the top quartile of quality with an AUROC of 0.94 (0.91–0.97) (Figure 3). In contrast, the EchoNet-POCUS can predict the ejection fraction of videos in the bottom quartile of quality with an AUROC of 0.64 (0.61–0.67). This suggests that the video quality can be used as feedback to prompt physicians to retake videos with poor quality, resulting in videos that can be evaluated more easily.

**COMPARISON OF TRAINING METHODS**

We additionally compared against several other training methods in Table 3 (14). The weights from EchoNet-POCUS were trained starting with the weights from and
Figure 2. Receiver operating characteristic (top) and calibration analysis (bottom) for predicting cardiac function (left) and video quality (right). AUROC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve.

Table 2. Confusion Matrix for Predicting Ejection Fractions on Videos With Sufficient Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Labeled EF</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted EF</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Threshold selected for a sensitivity of 90%. EF = ejection fraction.

Fine-tuning all weights, which resulted in the best performance. Using the weights from Ouyang et al. directly without retraining resulted in significantly poorer performance on predicting cardiac function and no ability to predict video quality (14). Fine-tuning only the final layer did not result in significant improvements. Finally, training EchoNet-POCUS from scratch resulted in almost the same performance as starting from pretrained weights, suggesting that the dataset is sufficiently large to capture most variation present in apical four-chamber views.

Deployment at Bedside

To make EchoNet-POCUS accessible to clinicians, we designed a system to provide deep learning predictions at bedside (Figure 4). Ultrasound machines commonly provide video output through various video output ports. We use a high-definition multimedia interface (HDMI) connection and capture card to provide this video to a Raspberry Pi, which preserves privacy by cropping all protected health information and extracts clips of interest.
Figure 3. Performance for predicting cardiac function stratified by EchoNet-POCUS’s predicted video quality. Example videos are shown for different quality levels. The performance is significantly higher for high quality videos (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve [AUROC] 0.94 for the top-quality quartile) compared with lower-quality videos (AUROC 0.64 for the bottom quartile). POCUS = point-of-care ultrasound.

Table 3. Performance of Different Methods of Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Method</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.77–0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No retraining</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine-tune (last)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine-tune (all)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trained without cardiology weights</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No retraining: weights from Ouyang et al. (2020) used without modification; Fine-tune (last): final layer of weights retrained; Fine-tune (all): all weights retrained; Trained without cardiology weights: model trained without using weights from Ouyang et al. (2020) (14).

AUC = area under the curve; EF = ejection fraction.

The predictions from EchoNet-POCUS are then provided back to the user.

To demonstrate the feasibility of deploying EchoNet-POCUS, we prospectively applied the algorithm in real time to analyze videos from 47 patients collected at ED bedside. The patients were scanned by an EP, and EchoNet-POCUS produced nearly instantaneous assessment of the echocardiograms. We generated consensus expert labels using the same procedure as the training videos to measure the prospective performance of the model. On this additional set of prospective patients, EchoNet-POCUS achieves an AUROC of 0.96 (0.90–1.00) for predicting ejection fractions and an AUROC of 0.89 (0.81–0.95) for prediction of video quality.

DISCUSSION

Although point-of-care echocardiography has become widely used in the ED and other clinical settings, there remains a need for the standardization of cardiac contractility assessment. We trained EchoNet-POCUS to aid in both interpreting POCUS echocardiograms and assessing video quality. Given the portability of the model, this feedback can occur in real time, as opposed to other models not designed to operate at the bedside.

EchoNet-POCUS can interpret ED echocardiogram videos with a high degree of accuracy and can be run on commodity hardware in real time, aiding in ultrasound education and significantly decreasing the barrier to entry into ED POCUS. The videos identified as high quality by EchoNet-POCUS have greater model cardiac function prediction accuracy, indicating that the quality predicted by the model can be given as direct feedback to physicians in real time to aid with improving video quality and image acquisition at the bedside. Given real-time feedback regarding video quality, we envision user interface adaptations on the commodity hardware that promote sequential collection of bedside echocardiogram videos to obtain high model ejection fraction prediction accuracy.

Due to the low cost of additional parts needed to run EchoNet-POCUS in real time, these devices could be made commonly available. Additionally, the portability of the commodity hardware along with ease of customizability of the user interface lends the ability of the same underlying technology to be deployed in a variety of settings.
clinical environments. Potential uses of EchoNet-POCUS include primary educational purposes at large academic centers and providing additional patient level contextual information at community hospitals with lower POCUS proficiency rates. This could result in increased utilization of bedside POCUS wherever these machines are deployed.

LIMITATIONS

Although we are limited in the number of patients in our prospective study, the pilot demonstrates the feasibility of using EchoNet-POCUS in real time at the bedside. However, validating the model in a large prospective study across multiple sites is an important direction of future work to ensure that EchoNet-POCUS can generalize across hospitals.

CONCLUSIONS

EPs are increasingly using POCUS to evaluate unstable patients, but considerable operator-to-operator variability remains. We curated a dataset of POCUS echocardiograms to develop EchoNet-POCUS. We find that EchoNet-POCUS can improve the consistency of evaluating cardiac function and can screen for video with poor quality. EchoNet-POCUS can be readily run in real time using low-cost hardware.
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ARTICLE SUMMARY

1. Why is this topic important?
Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) is becoming increasingly available to assess cardiac function at bedside. However, there exists considerable operator-to-operator variability among readers.

2. What does this study attempt to show?
Our study shows that EchoNet-POCUS can aid emergency physicians in interpreting POCUS echocardiograms. The low cost of hardware required will allow the model to be easily accessible.

3. What are the key findings?
We find that EchoNet-POCUS can accurately evaluate both cardiac function and video quality. Using EchoNet-POCUS to filter low-quality videos further increases its accuracy.

4. How is patient care impacted?
Patients receiving POCUS echocardiograms can receive faster and more accurate diagnoses when emergency physicians are aided by EchoNet-POCUS.